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- introduction - conceptual issues
  - all think it’s important – it didn’t happen
  - C&E data
    - scores of genotypic traits
    - characterization: highly heritable, easily observable traits
      - flower color, row number, flowering time, number of shoots
    - evaluation: more difficult to observe traits requiring specific experiments
      and/or equipment to determine
      - protein content, grain yield, resistance to a specific pathotype
    - line between C and E is very vague – treat as one category C&E
    - molecular fingerprinting data are not considered C&E data
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**introduction - conceptual issues (cont’d)**

- **C&E: measurements on the phenotype**
  - model for phenotype: \( p_{ij} = g_i + e_j + ge_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \)
  - we are interested in \( g_i \) – but cannot know it

- **proper interpretation of a score (\( p_{ij} \)) requires info about**
  - genotype (one or more plants of an accession)
  - trait (property that was scored)
    - plant height, pl-ln, plantlengte, C204, length in vegetative stage
  - method (scale, precision, heterogeneity-handling)
  - experiment (conditions, treatment, design, environment)
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- introduction - conceptual issues (cont’d)
  - extreme options for exchanging scores
    - *heritability*: only use highly heritable traits, standardize scale
      - effect $e_j$, $g_{ij}$ and $\varepsilon_{ij}$ low
      - typical characterization traits (row number, crop type)
    - *standardization*: standardize experiment - include standards, prescribe design, control environment (irrigation, soil, disease control)
      - effect $e_j$, $g_{ij}$ constant and $\varepsilon_{ij}$ low
      - registration and breeding trials
    - *interpretation*: use raw scores, also exchange context data
      - statistical and/or heuristic analysis is needed to look over experiment boundaries
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- introduction – experiences (brief)
  - C&E data rarely available on genebank websites
    - even more rarely searchable
  - obtaining C&E data from genebanks is very difficult
    - low level of computerization
    - labor involved in the required standardization
    - IP issues?
  - CCDBs use different approaches
    - none of them the ‘silver bullet’
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- introduction – main messages
  - C&E data are important to the user but complicated in nature
  - big challenge: to get data from the source (genebank)
    - don’t require too much manual input
    - create a one-time solution that can work from then on
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- proposal – concept
  - assumptions
    - it is not feasible to enforce any standardization in terms of experimental design, the use of standards or even the scale of measurement
    - all (potential) data donors should be able to export their data, as they have it, in a common format, provided that this is a flexible format
    - the value of C&E data is that high to a user that (s)he is willing to invest time in analyzing the data
  - principle
    - create a C&E data repository
      - create a data exchange format that is able to cope with unstandardised C&E data
      - describe genotype, trait, method and experiment
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- proposal – elements
  - genotype
    - concerns accessions already registered in EURISCO
    - identification via unique key of EURISCO (combined key consisting of the fields NICODE, INSTCODE, ACCENUMB and GENUS)
  - trait
    - no agreed descriptor list or ontology exists (work on controlled vocabulary or ontology as source of inspiration)
    - accept the names as used by the data providers - ask is to provide English name of trait
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- proposal – elements (cont’d)
  - method
    - brief description, in English, of the way the trait was scored
      - scale that was used
      - additional info such as ‘the average of five random spikes’
  - experiment
    - brief description, in English, of relevant aspects of the experiment:
      - ‘on sandy soil in the Netherlands’, ‘during multiplication’, ‘from a randomized complete block experiment in triplo’, ‘start of growing season was dark and humid’, etc.
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- proposal – elements (cont’d)
  - C&E data uploaded in packages consisting of one or more experiments with possibly a generic methodological remark
    - e.g. the convention for handling variation within accessions
  - one experiment contains \( n \) genotypes and \( m \) traits (with their method) and of course \( n \times m \) scores
    - easy to implement in relational database
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- proposal – elements (cont’d)
  - upload implemented in any format
    - xml, xls, csv
    - upload files, webservice
  - five elements
    - DATASET
    - EXPERIMENT
    - TRAIT
    - GENOTYPE
    - SCORE
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• proposal – elements (cont'd)

• DATASET containing

  • NICODE – see EURISCO (mandatory)
  • DATASET_REMARK – any general remark relevant to all scores in the dataset (max 255 alphanumeric)
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- proposal – elements (contn’d)
  - EXPERIMENT containing
    - EXPERIMENT_NUMBER – unique number in the dataset for the experiment; this number should be unique for the NI (mandatory)
    - EXPERIMENT_DESCRIPTION – information relevant for the interpretation of the scores in the experiment such as experimental design, location, experimenter, weather, etc. (max 255 alphanumeric)
    - EXPERIMENT_YEAR – the year the experiment was done (started) (4 numeric)
    - EXPERIMENT_REPORT – a reference to the report of the experiment, either supplied with the data (then only the file name needs to be given) or the URL of the report (max 100 alphanumeric)
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Proposal – elements (cont’d)

- TRAIT containing
  - TRAIT_NUMBER – unique number for the trait in the dataset (mandatory)
  - TRAIT_NAME – English name of the trait (max 50 alphanumeric, mandatory)
  - TRAIT_REMARK - any general remark that helps interpret the trait (max 255 alphanumeric)
  - TRAIT_METHOD – a description of the method for measuring and the scale used (max 255 alphanumeric)
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- proposal – elements (cont’d)
  - GENOTYPE containing
    - GENOTYPE_NUMBER – unique number for the genotype in the dataset (mandatory)
    - GENOTYPE_INSTCODE – see EURISCO (mandatory)
    - GENOTYPE_ACCENUMB – see EURISCO (mandatory)
    - GENOTYPE_GENUS – see EURISCO (mandatory)
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- proposal – elements (cont’d)
  - SCORE containing
    - GENOTYPE_NUMBER – key to GENOTYPE (mandatory)
    - EXPERIMENT_NUMBER – key to EXPERIMENT (mandatory)
    - TRAIT_NUMBER – key to TRAIT (mandatory)
    - SCORE – actual score (max 10 alphanumeric, mandatory)
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- Proposal - upload mechanism
  - Aligned with the current EURISCO upload mechanism
    - Responsibility of NI focal point
    - Report about the replace and insert actions should be send to the uploader
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- proposal - download mechanism
  - not obvious - needs much attention
  - use-case oriented
    - different users should be identified and their needs should be described and accommodated
    - two major user-groups:
      - the bulk user, such as CCDB managers creating or maintaining a crop specific PGR portal and scientists doing a large survey
      - the trait searcher, a breeder or scientist who is looking for a specific trait
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- proposal - download mechanism (contn’d)
  - complicating factor: EURISCO doesn’t have a standardized division in crops
    - any user starts by selecting accessions, for example of all *Triticum* and *Aegilops*, in all spelling and format versions currently featured in EURISCO
    - after selecting the accessions, the user should only be confronted with the C&E data on those accessions
  - next step: selection of traits and experiments
    - trait names are not standardized this might involve long lists of trait-names, and might require a search interface
    - after selecting the trait(s), the user should be allowed to select the experiments that (s)he would like to get access to
proposal - download mechanism (cont’d)

final step: downloading the data

- could take many shapes, including download of entire experiments or download of matrices with accession times trait/experiment combinations
- display of selected data in the selected format might be a problem because of the size of the information
- required format should be selected (xls, xml, csv)
- output should be generated, with appropriate meta information (decoded codes, a readme for the use and interpretation) and made available in a downloadable shape (in a zip file or on a html page with clickable files)
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proposal – implementation

steps

- create ownership in the community of genebanks for the approach to follow
- agree on and define (the elements of) the mechanisms in detail
- get commitment of a few large potential data donors to supply their data in the testing phase (NGB, CGN, BLE)
- build required software and test upload mechanism
- support potential new data donors by approaching them on a personal level, organizing training workshops and/or technical visits
- improve on interface and download format in collaboration with selected users
- promote resulting database via publications and/or presentations PGR community, plant scientists and breeders
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concluding remarks

• creating a EURISCO C&E repository is do-able
  • provided support of genebank community
  • provided support of EURISCO
• a EURISCO C&E repository positive for standardization
  • C&E data themselves
  • trait ontology
  • C&E methodology
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we have been talking about C&E data for too long
let’s get some work done …